

THE DUAL STRUCTURE OF CROSSED PRODUCT C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH FINITE GROUPS

FIRUZ KAMALOV

ABSTRACT. We study the space of irreducible representations of a crossed product C^* -algebra $A \rtimes_\sigma G$, where G is a finite group. We construct a space $\tilde{\Gamma}$ which consists of pairs of irreducible representations of A and irreducible projective representations of subgroups of G . We show that there is a natural action of G on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and that the orbit space $G \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}$ corresponds bijectively to the dual of $A \rtimes_\sigma G$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let G be a locally compact group acting as automorphisms of A via a homomorphism σ into $\text{Aut}(A)$. It has been a long standing problem to describe the ideal structure of the crossed product $A \rtimes_\sigma G$. One approach to describing $\text{Prim}(A \rtimes_\sigma G)$ is to construct a set X whose structure can be understood and then realize $\text{Prim}(A \rtimes_\sigma G)$ as the quotient space of X . Perhaps the best example of such approach is given by Williams in [7], where A and G are assumed to be abelian. In this case, $\text{Prim}(A \rtimes_\sigma G)$ can be realized as the quotient space of $X = \hat{A} \times \hat{G}$. In general, the problem of constructing the appropriate space X seems to be very difficult. Even in special cases when A is Type I or G is amenable the problem remains open [2].

The purpose of this paper is to describe the dual space $\widehat{A \rtimes_\sigma G}$ of $A \rtimes_\sigma G$, that is, the set of all unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of $A \rtimes_\sigma G$, when G is finite. The study of crossed products involving finite groups goes back to Rieffel [5]. More recently, it was shown by Arias and Latremoliere in [1] that every irreducible representation of $A \rtimes_\sigma G$ is induced from an irreducible representation of a certain subsystem. In Section 2, we construct a space $\tilde{\Gamma}$ which consists of pairs of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of A and irreducible projective representations of certain subgroups of G . There is a natural action of G on $\tilde{\Gamma}$. We define a map Φ from $\tilde{\Gamma}$ into the set of equivalence classes of irreducible covariant representations of the dynamical system (A, G, σ) . In Section

Date: August 13, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L55, 46L05.

3, we show that the map Φ is surjective. This result is also proved in [1, Theorem 3.4] but we provide an alternative approach. Our main result is Theorem 6, where we identify $\widehat{A \rtimes_\sigma G}$ with the set of orbits in $\widehat{\Gamma}$.

Recall that a covariant representation of (A, G, σ) on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a pair (π, U) , where π is a non-degenerate representation of A on $\mathcal{H}$ and U is a homomorphism of G into the unitary group of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$U(s)\pi(a)U(s)^* = \pi(\sigma_s a)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $s \in G$. There exists a one to one correspondence between the covariant representations of the system (A, G, σ) and the nondegenerate representations of $A \rtimes_\sigma G$. Therefore, the study of representations of $A \rtimes_\sigma G$ is equivalent to that of covariant representations of (A, G, σ) .

2. THE ACTION OF G ON Γ

Let (A, G, σ) be a dynamical system, where G is a finite group. The action of G on A induces a natural action of G on $\widehat{A}$ given by $[\pi] \mapsto [\pi \circ \sigma_s]$ for all $[\pi] \in \widehat{A}$ and $s \in G$. Define $G_\pi = \{s \in G : [\pi] = [\pi \circ \sigma_s]\}$ to be the stability group for each $[\pi] \in \widehat{A}$. Then for each $s \in G_\pi$ there is a unitary V_s such that $V_s \pi V_s^* = \pi \circ \sigma_s$. A routine calculation shows that the map $s \mapsto V_s$ defines a projective representation of G_π . Let ω be the multiplier of the projective representation V . The multiplier ω and the projective representation V do not depend on the choice of π but only on the equivalence class $[\pi]$. Let W_ω be an ω -representation of G_π , then according to [4], $\overline{W_\omega}$, the adjoint of W_ω , is an ω^{-1} -representation. We can construct a covariant representation of (A, G_π, σ) by

$$(1) \quad \pi_\omega = \pi \otimes 1 \text{ and } U_\omega = V \otimes \overline{W_\omega}.$$

The map $W_\omega \mapsto (\pi_\omega, U_\omega)$ sets up a one-to-one correspondence between the set of ω -representations of G_π and the set of all covariant representations of (A, G_π, σ) of the form $(\pi \otimes 1, V \otimes \overline{W_\omega})$. Moreover, the commutant of (π_ω, U_ω) is isomorphic to the commutant of W_ω under the canonical correspondence [6, Lemma 5.2]. In particular, if W_ω is irreducible, then so is (π_ω, U_ω) .

Let Γ be the set of all pairs (π, W_ω) , where π is an irreducible representation of A and W_ω is an irreducible ω -representation of G_π . There exists a natural action of G on the set Γ which we now describe. For each $s \in G$, we have $G_{\pi \circ \sigma_s} = s^{-1}G_\pi s$. So given a projective representation W_ω of G_π we can construct a projective representation of $G_{\pi \circ \sigma_s}$ by $(s \cdot W_\omega)(s^{-1}ts) = W_\omega(t)$ for all $t \in G_\pi$. Thus we can define the action of G on Γ by

$$(\pi, W_\omega) \mapsto (\pi \circ \sigma_s, s \cdot W_\omega).$$

In order to establish a connection between Γ and $\widehat{A \rtimes_\sigma G}$ we need to extend a representation of (A, G_π, σ) to a representation of (A, G, σ) . We will use Mackey-Takesaki construction of induced representations for this purpose. Since we are working with a finite group G induced representations are easy to describe. Let H be a subgroup of G and let (π, U) be a covariant representation of (A, H, σ) on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of all $\mathcal{H}_0$ valued functions ξ on G satisfying $\xi(ts) = U(t)\xi(s)$ for all $t \in H$ and all $s \in G$. Define $\overline{U}$ to be the homomorphism of G into the unitary group of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$(\overline{U}(t)\xi)(s) = \xi(st)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $s, t \in G$. For each $a \in A$, define an operator $\overline{\pi}(a)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$(\overline{\pi}(a)\xi)(s) = \pi(\sigma_s a)\xi(s)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $s \in G$. Then $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})$ is the induced covariant representation of (A, G, σ) .

Let H be a subgroup of G and let (π, U) be a representation of (A, H, σ) . Let $s \in G$. Define a representation $(\pi \circ \sigma_s, U_s)$ of $(A, s^{-1}Hs, \sigma)$ by $U_s(s^{-1}ts) = U(t)$ for all $t \in H$. We want to establish that (π, U) and $(\pi \circ \sigma_s, U_s)$ induce to equivalent representations.

Lemma 1. *Let (A, G, σ) be a dynamical system, where G is a finite group. Let H be a subgroup of G and $s \in G$. Suppose that (π, U) and $(\pi \circ \sigma_s, U_s)$ are as above and that $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})$ and $(\overline{\pi \circ \sigma_s}, \overline{U_s})$ are the corresponding induced representations of (A, G, σ) . Then $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})$ is unitarily equivalent to $(\overline{\pi \circ \sigma_s}, \overline{U_s})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the representation space for $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})$ and $\mathcal{H}_s$ denote the representation space for $(\overline{\pi \circ \sigma_s}, \overline{U_s})$. Define a unitary V from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}_s$ by $(V\xi)(r) = \xi(sr)$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $r \in G$. For each $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_s$,

$$\begin{aligned} (V\overline{\pi}(a)V^*\eta)(r) &= (\overline{\pi}(a)V^*\eta)(sr) \\ &= \pi(\sigma_{sr}a)(V^*\eta)(sr) \\ &= \pi(\sigma_{sr}a)\eta(r) = (\overline{\pi \circ \sigma_s}(a)\eta)(r) \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in G$ and $a \in A$. Similarly,

$$(V\overline{U}(t)V^*\eta)(r) = \eta(rt) = (\overline{U_s}(t)\eta)(r)$$

for all $t, r \in G$. It follows that $(\overline{\pi}, \overline{U})$ is equivalent to $(\overline{\pi \circ \sigma_s}, \overline{U_s})$ via the unitary V . $\square$

Let (π_ω, U_ω) be a representation of (A, G_π, σ) as in Equation 1. For each representation of the form (π_ω, U_ω) , we can induce to a representation $(\overline{\pi_\omega}, \overline{U_\omega})$ of (A, G, σ) . The commutant of (π_ω, U_ω) is isomorphic to the commutant of $(\overline{\pi_\omega}, \overline{U_\omega})$. In particular, if (π_ω, U_ω) is irreducible, then so is $(\overline{\pi_\omega}, \overline{U_\omega})$. Let (π_1, W_{ω_1}) and $(\pi_2, W_{\omega_2}) \in \Gamma$. We will say that (π_1, W_{ω_1}) is equivalent to (π_2, W_{ω_2}) if π_1 is unitarily equivalent to π_2 and W_{ω_1} is unitarily equivalent to W_{ω_2} . Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the set of all equivalence classes in Γ . Note that the action of G on Γ induces the action of G on $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 2. *Let (A, G, σ) be a dynamical system, where G is a finite group. Let $(\pi_1, W_{\omega_1}), (\pi_2, W_{\omega_2}) \in \Gamma$ and let $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}}, \overline{U_{\omega_1}}), (\overline{\pi_{\omega_2}}, \overline{U_{\omega_2}})$ be the corresponding representations of (A, G, σ) . If $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}}, \overline{U_{\omega_1}})$ is unitarily equivalent to $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_2}}, \overline{U_{\omega_2}})$, then (π_1, W_{ω_1}) is equivalent to $(\pi_2 \circ \sigma_s, s \cdot W_{\omega_2})$ for some $s \in G$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be representation spaces for $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}}, \overline{U_{\omega_1}})$ and $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_2}}, \overline{U_{\omega_2}})$ respectively. Let $\{r_i\}$ be the set of right coset representatives of G_{π_1} in G . Define $\mathcal{H}_i = \{\xi \in \mathcal{H} : \xi(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \notin G_{\pi_1} r_i\}$, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_i$ is the set of functions in $\mathcal{H}$ supported on the coset $G_{\pi_1} r_i$. Then $\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}}|_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ is equivalent to $\pi_{\omega_1} \circ \sigma_{r_i}$ for each r_i and $\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}}$ decomposes as a direct sum of disjoint representations

$$\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}} = \bigoplus_i \pi_{\omega_1} \circ \sigma_{r_i}$$

Similarly, $\overline{\pi_{\omega_2}} = \bigoplus_j \pi_{\omega_2} \circ \sigma_{s_j}$, where $\{s_j\}$ is the set of right coset representatives of G_{π_2} in G . Since $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}}, \overline{U_{\omega_1}})$ is unitarily equivalent to $(\overline{\pi_{\omega_2}}, \overline{U_{\omega_2}})$ there is a unitary V such that $V\overline{\pi_{\omega_1}} = \overline{\pi_{\omega_2}}V$ and $V\overline{U_{\omega_1}} = \overline{U_{\omega_2}}V$. We can view V as a matrix operator with respect to decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{K}_j$. Since $\{\pi_1 \circ \sigma_{r_i}\}_i$ are mutually inequivalent representations and $\{\pi_2 \circ \sigma_{s_j}\}_j$ are also mutually inequivalent, then V is a permutation matrix whose nonzero entries are unitaries. Therefore, there exists a unitary V_{j1} such that $V_{j1}\pi_{\omega_1} = (\pi_{\omega_2} \circ \sigma_{s_j})V_{j1}$ for some s_j . It follows that π_1 is equivalent to $\pi_2 \circ \sigma_{s_j}$ and $G_{\pi_1} = s_j^{-1}G_{\pi_2}s_j$. Observe that the restriction of $\overline{U_{\omega_1}}|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ to G_{π_1} is equivalent to the representation U_{ω_1} and the restriction of $\overline{U_{\omega_2}}|_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ to G_{π_2} is equivalent to the representation U_{ω_2} . Since $V\overline{U_{\omega_1}} = \overline{U_{\omega_2}}V$, then $V_{j1}\overline{U_{\omega_1}}|_{\mathcal{H}_1}(r) = \overline{U_{\omega_2}}|_{\mathcal{K}_j}(r)V_{j1}$ for all $r \in G_{\pi_1}$. Also $\overline{U_{\omega_2}}|_{\mathcal{K}_j}(s_j^{-1}ts_j)$ is equivalent to $\overline{U_{\omega_2}}|_{\mathcal{K}_1}(t)$ for all $t \in G_{\pi_2}$. Therefore, $U_{\omega_1}(s_j^{-1}ts_j)$ is equivalent to $U_{\omega_2}(t)$ for all $t \in G_{\pi_2}$. It follows that (π_1, W_{ω_1}) is equivalent to $(\pi_2 \circ \sigma_{s_j}, s_j \cdot W_{\omega_2})$.

□

Define a map Φ from $\tilde{\Gamma}$ into the set of equivalence classes of irreducible covariant representations of (A, G, σ) by

$$(2) \quad \Phi(\pi, W_\omega) = (\overline{\pi_\omega}, \overline{U_\omega}).$$

If (π_1, W_{ω_1}) is equivalent to (π_2, W_{ω_2}) , then $\Phi(\pi_1, W_{\omega_1})$ is equivalent to $\Phi(\pi_2, W_{\omega_2})$. So Φ is well defined. The next result follows directly from Lemmas 1 and 2.

Corollary 3. *Let (A, G, σ) be a dynamical system, where G is a finite group. Suppose that (π_1, W_{ω_1}) and $(\pi_2, W_{\omega_2}) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$. Then $\Phi(\pi_1, W_{\omega_1}) = \Phi(\pi_2, W_{\omega_2})$ if and only if $(\pi_2, W_{\omega_2}) = (\pi_1 \circ \sigma_s, s \cdot W_{\omega_1})$ for some $s \in G$.*

3. THE MAIN RESULT

The remaining step in obtaining our main result is to show that the map Φ , as defined in Equation 2, is surjective. We first need the following elementary lemma about projections.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathbb{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let p_1 and p_2 be a pair of projections in $\mathbb{A}$. Suppose that $q = p_1 - (p_1 \wedge p_2)$. Then $q \wedge p_2 = 0$. Moreover, if p_2 is a minimal projection, then $(p_1 \vee p_2) - p_1$ is a minimal projection in $\mathbb{A}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $qh_1 = p_2h_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $q \leq p_1$, then $p_1p_2h_2 = p_2h_2$. Hence, $(p_1 \wedge p_2)h_2 = p_2h_2$. It follows that $(p_1 \wedge p_2)h_2 = qh_1$. But $q \wedge (p_1 \wedge p_2) = 0$, so $qh_1 = 0$.

To prove the second part of the statement let $e = (p_1 \vee p_2) - p_1$. Suppose there exists a nonzero projection $e' \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $e' \leq e$. Then $p_2e' \neq 0$ and $p_2e'\mathcal{H} \subsetneq p_2\mathcal{H}$. Let p'_2 be the projection onto the closure of the range of p_2e' . Then $p'_2 \in \mathbb{A}$ and $p'_2 \leq p_2$ which is a contradiction. It follows that e is a minimal projection. $\square$

Let (π, U) be a covariant representation of (A, G, σ) on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. There is a natural action of G on the von Neumann algebra $\pi(A)'$ given by $T \mapsto U(s)TU(s)^*$ for all $T \in \pi(A)'$. We say that the action of G on a von Neumann algebra $\mathbb{A}$ is ergodic if the only elements of $\mathbb{A}$ that are fixed by the group action are the scalar multiples of the identity operator. It was shown in [1, Theorem 3.1], using a powerful result of [3], that von Neumann algebras which admit ergodic action by a finite group are necessarily finite dimensional. We present this result below with an alternative proof.

Proposition 5. *Let U be a unitary representation of a finite group G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that G acts ergodically on a von Neumann algebra $\mathbb{A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a finite family of minimal projections $p_i \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $\oplus p_i = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$.*

Proof. We will first show that there exists a minimal projection $p \in \mathbb{A}$ together with a subset $S \subseteq G$ such that $\bigvee_{s_j \in S} U(s_j)pU(s_j)^* = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $(\bigvee_{j \leq i-1} U(s_j)pU(s_j)^*) \wedge U(s_i)pU(s_i)^* = 0$ for all $s_i \in S$. To this end, let $p \in \mathbb{A}$ and $S' \subseteq G$ such that $(\bigvee_{j \leq i-1} U(s_j)pU(s_j)^*) \wedge U(s_i)pU(s_i)^* = 0$ for all $s_i \in S'$. Suppose that p is not a minimal projection. It will be enough to show that there is a projection $p' \in \mathbb{A}$ and $t \in G - S'$ such that $(\bigvee_{j \leq i-1} U(s_j)p'U(s_j)^*) \wedge U(s_i)p'U(s_i)^* = 0$ for all $s_i \in S$, where $S = S' \cup \{t\}$. Since G is finite we will eventually obtain a minimal projection.

For each projection $q \in \mathbb{A}$, we have $\sum_G U(s)qU(s)^* \in \mathbb{A}$. Moreover,

$$U(t)(\sum_G U(s)qU(s)^*)U(t)^* = \sum_G U(s)qU(s)^*$$

for all $t \in G$. Since the group action is ergodic, then $\sum_G U(s)qU(s)^* = c1_{\mathcal{H}}$ for some complex number c . It follows that

$$(3) \quad \bigvee_G U(s)qU(s)^* = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$$

for all non zero projections $q \in \mathbb{A}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $1_G \in S'$. Moreover, by replacing p with a proper, nonzero subprojection we can assume that $\bigvee_{s \in S'} U(s)pU(s)^* < 1_{\mathcal{H}}$. By Equation 3, there is $t \in G$ such that $U(t)pU(t)^* \not\leq \bigvee_{s \in S'} U(s)pU(s)^*$. Note that $t \notin S'$. Let $q = U(t)pU(t)^* - [U(t)pU(t)^* \wedge (\bigvee_{s \in S'} U(s)pU(s)^*)]$. By Lemma 4, $q \wedge (\bigvee_{s \in S'} U(s)pU(s)^*) = 0$. Then $p' = U(t)^*qU(t)$ is the desired projection.

We will now describe how to transform the set of minimal projections $\{U(s_i)pU(s_i)^*\}_{s_i \in S}$ obtained above into a set of orthogonal minimal projections. Let $q_i = U(s_i)pU(s_i)^*$ for all $s_i \in S$. For each $i \geq 2$, define

$$p_i = \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq i} q_j - \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} q_j$$

and $p_1 = q_1$. Then $p_i \in \mathbb{A}$ for all i , and $p_i \perp p_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Moreover, by the second part of Lemma 4, each p_i is a minimal projection. $\square$

Suppose (π, U) is an irreducible representation of (A, G, σ) . Then the action of G on $\pi(A)'$ is ergodic. Applying Proposition 5 to the algebra $\pi(A)'$ we get that π must decompose as a direct sum of finitely many irreducible representations. Let ρ be an irreducible subrepresentation of π . It follows from [1, Theorem 3.4] that there exists an irreducible ω -representation of G_ρ such that (π, U) is unitarily equivalent to $(\overline{\rho_\omega}, \overline{U_\omega})$. It follows that the

map Φ , as defined in Equation 2, is surjective. We are now in position to state our main theorem.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ is a crossed product C^* -algebra, where G is a finite group. Let $\tilde{\Gamma} \backslash G$ be the set of orbits in $\tilde{\Gamma}$ under the group action. Then there exists a bijective correspondence between $\tilde{\Gamma} \backslash G$ and the dual space $\widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$.*

Proof. Recall that there is a canonical correspondence between the irreducible representations of $A \rtimes_{\sigma} G$ and (A, G, σ) . By the preceding discussion the map $\Phi : \tilde{\Gamma} \mapsto \widehat{A \rtimes_{\sigma} G}$ is surjective. Moreover, by Corollary 3, $\Phi(\pi_1, W_{\omega_1}) = \Phi(\pi_2, W_{\omega_2})$ if and only if (π_2, W_{ω_2}) is in the orbit of (π_1, W_{ω_1}) . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arias and F. Latremoliere, *Irreducible representations of C^* -crossed products by finite groups*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **25** (2010), no. 2, 193-231.
- [2] S. Echterhoff and D. Williams, *Inducing primitive ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 11, 6113-6129.
- [3] R. Hoegh-Krohn, M. Landstad and E. Stormer, *Compact ergodic groups of automorphisms*, Ann. of Math. **114** (1981), no. 1, 75-86.
- [4] G. Mackey, *Unitary representations of group extensions, I*, Acta Math. **99** (1958) 265-311.
- [5] M. Rieffel, *Actions of finite groups on C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **47** (1980), 176-257.
- [6] M. Takesaki, *Covariant representations of C^* -algebras and their locally compact automorphism groups*, Acta Math. **119** (1967) 273-303.
- [7] D. Williams, *The topology on the primitive ideal space of transformation group C^* -algebras and CCR transformation group C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **266** (1981), 335-359.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, CANADIAN UNIVERSITY OF DUBAI, DUBAI, UAE
 E-mail address: firuz@cud.ac.ae